

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,496	TOSAKI ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ANISH DESAI	1788	

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE

(1) ANISH DESAI. (3) _____.

(2) Ms. Yan Lan (Attorney). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2010

Time: approx 2PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

3, 5, and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Callie E. Shosho/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787
/A. D./
Examiner, Art Unit 1788

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated that claims 3, 5, and 6 raises 112-second paragraph issues in view of newly amended claim 1. These issues are more specifically explained in the attached Office action. Additionally, the Examiner stated that if applicant cancels claims 3, 5, and 6, then the application will be in condition for allowance. Ms. Lan suggested that the Examiner issue an Office action so that applicant can review 112-second paragraph issues.